

1 MR. TALBOT: What I was saying in that
2 case I was still trying to get some summary around
3 these.

4 I think the next step we would say is
5 how do we take care of these cases where you say
6 let's do work about enhancing it further with that
7 type of information. I think that's what Rhythms
8 was saying. They had five or six things they
9 wanted to make sure we knew about. We got logged
10 in terms of let's work towards enhancements
11 requested. My sense is that would be the right
12 approach there, and we would do that via the change
13 management process because this process won't go on
14 beyond us kind of closing around this
15 collaborative.

16 MS. TAFF-RICE: Kevin, I think in
17 addition, what Fred was asking, is you find out
18 whether it's feasible to provide these additional
19 data elements by these release dates and let us
20 know that. If it's not feasible, then we know we
21 need to take it up somewhere else.

22 MR. TALBOT: Okay.

23 MS. TAFF-RICE: I think that was kind of a
24 reality check.

25 MR. TALBOT: I think that's a good

1 summary. I missed that. Maybe let's agree to do
2 that in terms of the summary.

3 Why don't we do this. We need to make
4 sure we board them, so I need to understand which
5 ones they are that you are requesting, because I do
6 know in the case of Birch, you and MCI and
7 specifically through some of your requests, you
8 have said some other things. We need to understand
9 what they are. Get them on the list. I think
10 let's do that. That will kind of confirm what
11 maybe you are asking for, Anita. And then, from
12 that, perhaps what we can do to keep the process
13 moving, why doesn't SBC look at those overnight and
14 let's see what we can do to give you some
15 assessment response in the morning.

16 When we do that, it just locks into
17 place. If we think we can do some of those, we'll
18 move them to the enhancement. If we can't we'll
19 log those as legitimate requests for enhancements
20 and let's work those via change management.

21 MR. BAROS: Sounds great.

22 MS. COUGHLIN: Patti Coughlin, AT&T.

23 I just have one additional comment
24 that I just want to state that these additional
25 items that are not included in this list were

1 things that were discussed at the meeting prior to
2 your Plan of Record coming out. I notice that
3 meeting was not logged on your list of the
4 activities for the Plan of Record, but CLECs did
5 get together in both Chicago and Dallas prior to
6 that POR coming out. I know AT&T actually provided
7 a handout with more enhancements than are listed
8 here. I think those are the things that we are
9 talking about, that I have heard mentioned.

10 I would object. You know, I
11 understand and I appreciate your going to take a
12 look at those and see if you could include them in
13 that release. I think that would be the best
14 course of action, but I would object to having it
15 have to go through the lengthy change management
16 process given that these things were made available
17 to SBC prior to.

18 MR. TALBOT: I acknowledge that. I wasn't
19 involved in those meetings, but it sounds like, to
20 me, to kind of satisfy your request, let's do list
21 those. And again, let's let my team overnight make
22 sure, again, we have reviewed those and assessed
23 those based on, again, what information we were
24 doing at the time of those meetings.

25 At this point in time, part of my

1 Again, if somebody will speak loud
2 enough, we'll make sure we get them in the record
3 and also track them up here on the board.

4 MR. BAROS: I'll throw out the ones -- I
5 certainly didn't participate in the reference to
6 meetings earlier. These are the ones I noted need
7 to be ordered.

8 Presence of repeaters.

9 Type of plant, i.e., aerial or buried.

10 Type of loop i.e., copper or fiber.

11 Availability of spare facilities.

12 Availability of aggregate data by
13 serving wire center, MSA, etcetera.

14 MS. CHAPMAN: Put that one as a separate
15 issue because that's really not an individual.

16 We want to capture it, but it's not an
17 LMU.

18 MR. BAROS: What is LMU?

19 MS. CHAPMAN: Loop makeup on an individual
20 loop.

21 It's additional information they are
22 requesting.

23 MR. HALBACH: That's kind of covered in
24 the matrix under Planning Data.

25 MS. CHAPMAN: That's one of the things

1 MR. HALBACK: You want to put it in scope,
2 or how do you want to put it?

3 MR. TALBOT: First, for the moment it's
4 out of scope in terms of enhancements, but we need
5 to talk about it, give you a response as to what we
6 think is the response around that.

7 I'm sorry. I need to step out for
8 just a moment. Have we finished?

9 MS. CHAPMAN: No.

10 MR. MENG: Joe Meng with MCI Worldcom.

11 The quantity of bridge taps.

12 MS. CHAPMAN: Would you mean occurrences
13 of bridge taps? Because we are providing the
14 length. I want to make sure what the request is.
15 The number of occurrences of separate cases of
16 bridge tap?

17 MR. MENG: Yes. Quantity of bridge tap.

18 MS. CHAPMAN: By occurrence.

19 MR. BAROS: Wouldn't that be inherent in
20 the location of bridge tap? If you show each
21 location, it will show the quantity; will it not?

22 That's a question. I don't know.

23 MS. TAFF-RICE: We need to clarify whether
24 that is.

25 MR. TALBOT: I think that's exactly right.

1 I think we need to say what the request is. Either
2 it's like another one or not at all or -- so let's
3 do that now, though. Let's make sure we have
4 clarity around that so we can also respond to it.
5 MR. MENG: The quantity, location of
6 repeaters, low pass filters and range extenders.
7 MR. HALBACK: We have repeaters. You want
8 to combine those two, presence of repeaters and
9 quantity of repeaters?
10 Is that a separate one?
11 MS. TAFF-RICE: And if you could add type
12 of repeater to that as well.
13 MR. MENG: Quantity of repeaters, low
14 pass filters and range extenders.
15 Number of gauge changes.
16 Location of pair gain devices and DLCs
17 and the quantity of DLCs.
18 MS. COUGHLIN: Can you read true the list?
19 MR. HALBACK: Presence of repeaters and
20 type; type of plant, aerial or buried; type of
21 loop, copper or fiber; availability of spare
22 facilities; quantity of bridge tap by occurrence;
23 quantity of repeaters, low pass filters and range
24 extenders.
25 MR. MENG: Quantity and location, too.

1 MR. HALBACK: Quantity of.
2 Number of gauge changes and location
3 of pair gain devices, DLC and quantity of DLC.
4 MS. COUGHLIN: Did you mention the makeup
5 of the copper versus fiber? Does it say there the
6 portion and the length of loop that is copper and
7 fiber.
8 MR. HALBACK: No. We'll add that.
9 Portion and length of loop that is
10 copper and fiber.
11 MR. MENG: Location and type of remote
12 switching units.
13 MR. HALBACK: Anything else?
14 MR. TALBOT: I know we just kind of read
15 through them. No one can read them. What we are
16 going to do is I think what the reporters have
17 said, during lunch they will write these into the
18 record so we'll have those and so, I know it's in
19 orange and it's small. We'll get it into the
20 record.
21 What other enhancement requests do we
22 have around loop qualification, then.
23 MR. MENG: On the loop length, the first
24 bullet, is that from the NID to the MDF or from the
25 NID to the terminal?

1 the prequal that aren't available because they are
2 masked.

3 MR. PHILLIPS: You want me to answer that?

4 MR. TALBOT: Sure.

5 MR. PHILLIPS: This question goes back to
6 this statement on Page No. 13, and where the
7 statement came from, it's similar to what Larry
8 Smith on the phone mentioned. It really gets to
9 the heart of the question you just asked.

10 Today in the five state region and two
11 state region, we offer what we call
12 prequalification, which is I believe what you are
13 referring to. It's based on the red, yellow, green
14 information.

15 The statement on this page refers the
16 to that because the FCC came back to Southwestern
17 Bell and said the red, yellow, green is
18 insufficient. You need to provide more
19 information. I believe the list of the fields, I
20 would prefer to go back and check explicitly, but
21 list of fields that we are talking about here, the
22 masked fields, are the fields that are in the
23 accessible letter.

24 So what we are really saying here is
25 we are moving -- we, in the Midwest are moving away

1 with all --

2 MS. LEONARDSON: You go through the
3 process.

4 MS. CHAPMAN: Yes, for any conditions to a
5 field. Just because we made it available, it's not
6 suddenly you have to populate it. It would go
7 through the same process as any other field change.

8 MR. SZAFRANIEC: On that same note. The
9 tracking number, will it only be available through
10 DataGate and EDI or manual processes? If I fax in
11 an order, are you going to respond with a tracking
12 number or VariGate or whatever else is out there?

13 MS. CHAPMAN: It's off scope. Can I tell
14 him.

15 MR. TALBOT: It's one of those things that
16 is out of scope, but let's answer the question.

17 MR. SZAFRANIEC: The answer is out of
18 scope?

19 MR. TALBOT: Yes. We are going to provide
20 these interfaces in the time we said. Right now I
21 think we can provide a tracking number on a manual
22 request.

23 MS. CHAPMAN: Can I answer his VariGate
24 question?

25 MR. TALBOT: Yes.

1 MS. CHAPMAN: Yes, it will be available
2 through VariGate. Although that's out of scope, it
3 will be available through VariGate.

4 MR. SZAFRANIEC: Thank you for going
5 above and beyond.

6 MS. CHAPMAN: Whatever I can do for you.

7 MR. TALBOT: We are getting weak.

8 MR. BRAUCHLE: So on a manual request for
9 the design or actual data, you will submit a
10 tracking number.

11 MR. TALBOT: You mean return it.

12 MS. CHAPMAN: If you ask us to manually do
13 that, we will have that option available.

14 MR. TALBOT: We are moving into tracking
15 numbers. Let's talk about tracking numbers.

16 MR. BRAUCHLE: Technically I can skip
17 looking at a design and ask for a manual and never
18 receive a tracking number for a design?

19 MS. CHAPMAN: Even if we do a manual
20 request you will have a tracking number. It's
21 coming from the same database. It's just that the
22 tracking number will instead of referencing a
23 design makeup, it will reference the actual we
24 looked at it. It will be correlated with a
25 tracking number even if we do a manual look up.

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

2) ss

3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

4 I, George A. Haas, Certified Shorthand
5 Reporter, duly qualified in and for the State of
6 California, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7 transcript is a true and correct transcript of my
8 original stenographic notes.

9 I further certify that I am neither
10 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
11 by any of the parties to the action in which this
12 deposition is taken; and furthermore, that I am not
13 a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel,
14 employed by the parties hereto or financially
15 interested in said action.

16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17 set my hand this 3rd day of February 2000.

18

19

20

21 _____
GEORGE A. HAAS, CSR 5939

22

23

24

25

1

2

SBC/AMERITECH OSS xDSL POR

3

COLLABORATIVE WORKSHOP

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Volume II

11

Pages 349 to 608

12

13

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

14

Dallas, Texas

15

February 2, 2000

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

George A. Haas, CSR 5939

1 tracking number process.

2 The CNO field is probably something at
3 the industry level being discussed in terms of its
4 use. I think for clarification, we are saying,
5 again, that CNO field will not be used for a
6 tracking number.

7 MS. TAFF-RICE: That's not really my
8 question.

9 Yesterday we talked about if the field
10 were left blank, CNO field has nothing in it, then
11 the two things that Carol and I discussed might
12 happen. One is the order would be bounced back to
13 the CLEC, or it might go off to, I guess the MLAC
14 or someone in engineering, and there would be some
15 sort of a manual process that would happen to get a
16 tracking number to put in there.

17 What I want to make sure, if we leave
18 that field blank, neither of those two things is
19 going to happen.

20 MR. TALBOT: Correct.

21 MR. TIDWELL: This will not change the
22 provisioning time frame whatsoever.

23 MR. TALBOT: Correct.

24 MS. STORCH: What do you mean by
25 provisioning?

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

2)ss

3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

4 I, George A. Haas, Certified Shorthand
5 Reporter, duly qualified in and for the State of
6 California, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7 transcript is a true and correct transcript of my
8 original stenographic notes.

9 I further certify that I am neither
10 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
11 by any of the parties to the action in which this
12 deposition is taken; and furthermore, that I am not
13 a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel,
14 employed by the parties hereto or financially
15 interested in said action.

16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17 set my hand this 3rd day of February 2000.

18

19

20

21 _____
GEORGE A. HAAS, CSR 5939

22

23

24

25

SBC/Ameritech-CLEC xDSL Requirements Workshop

xDSL Deployment Requirements

- CLEC customers must not be denied the option of efficiently adding xDSL capability because of inadequate or inefficient embedded ILEC support processes.
- Customers must not have their choice of local or data service providers artificially limited by threats of loss or modification of their pre-existing xDSL or voice services.
- Customers must not be subjected to needlessly disruptive processes when seeking to add advanced service capabilities.

Pre-order Requirements

- Loop pre-qualification process:
 - Preorder access to cable record and pair information for a physical loop to determine its characteristics and potential support capabilities (i.e. DSL capable) via real-time electronic interface.
 - Preorder ability to request or perform an electronic test to verify or predict loop capabilities, allowing CLEC to make appropriate business decisions prior to submitting a service provisioning request to Ameritech.
 - Preorder information should be available electronically via EDI App-to-App or GUI.
 - CLEC must have ability to access data as appropriate for its own business process (i.e. initially to qualify/disqualify a customer or service location and subsequently to ensure appropriate provisioning).
 - Loop conditioning information must be available via electronic, automated systems to allow reliable feedback to CLEC customers.

Pre-order Qualifications

- Minimum loop characteristic information to be provided by SBC/Ameritech during the pre-order process:
 - The length of the loop in 26 gauge equivalent, noting gauge size and changes.
 - The portion and length of loop that is copper and/or fiber, as well as the actual technology or technologies used to provision service on the loop (i.e. analog; Integrated Digital Loop Carrier; Universal Digital Loop Carrier). Identification of the location of the DLC and disclosure as to whether there is a collocation point for CLEC interconnection?
 - Whether the loop passes through a remote terminal.
 - Location of the RT
 - Type and capabilities of the RT
 - Type of enclosure the RT is in and the availability of space for collocation
 - Whether the loop contains bridge taps, repeaters or load coils. If so, identification of location, length (if applicable) and gauge (if applicable) of each.

Pre-order Qualifications (continued)

- Whether Digital Add Main Line (DAML) is present on the loop.
- Total loop resistance in ohms.
- Description of overall quality of loop from ILEC records and any test results available for the loop.
- Identification of any “disturbers” in the loop including, but not exclusive of, those listed in T1.413 Issue 2.
- Identification of any disturbers in the same cable, including, but not exclusive of, those listed in T1.413 Issue 2.
- Identification of design strategy for loop (e.g., Resistance Design vs. Modified Long-Route Design or other).

Pre-order Qualifications

- SBC/Ameritech must provide a pricing schedule with uniform pricing criteria. Pricing must be consistent with TELRIC/TSLRIC guidelines (e.g., no special construction charges).

Ordering Requirements

- SBC/Ameritech to allow for ordering of xDSL (LSR forms) via EDI.
- Rejects, Firm Order Confirmations (FOC), Completion Notices, DLRs, and Jeopardy Notifications to be returned electronically.
- xDSL provision process should allow for complete flow-through to Legacy systems.
- Reliable data to be returned on FOC within agreed upon interval.

Order Status Requirements

- CLECs must have the ability to view the following via a real-time interface:
 - Tech notes on order
 - Dispatch time
 - Results of cooperative testing
 - Any field jeopardy and next steps to resolution
 - New due date resulting from jeopardy
 - Demarc info before cooperative testing

B